

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/886,466 06/22/2001 Jun Takahashi 82086-0002 4966 7590 09/21/2004 EXAMINER **HOGAN & HARTSON LLP** SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1774

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20040903

- DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

· .	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/886,466	TAKAHASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>under final rejection</u>	
(1) <u>Pamela R. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ajit Vaidya, applicant's representative.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9/3/04	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
none		
Prior art documents discussed:	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·	•	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Aunt Date I		
(Examiner/SP/E Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Vaidya inquired about the status of the application because the office action summary indicated that the action was non-final but the body of the action indicated that the action was final. The examiner replied that the rejection was final and that the status is controlled by the language of the body of the office action. Consequently, the time period continues to run from the date of the final rejection and has not been restarted.